arXiv:hep-th/9603114v1 17 Mar 1996

Current Algebraic Structures over Manifolds: Poisson Algebras, q-Deformations and Quantization

Sergio Albeverio⋆ and Shao-Ming Fei [1]

Institute of Mathematics, Ruhr-University Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany
⋆SFB 237 (Essen-Bochum-Düsseldorf); BiBoS (Bielefeld-Bochum);
CERFIM Locarno (Switzerland)

Abstract

Poisson algebraic structures on current manifolds (of maps from a finite dimensional Riemannian manifold into a 2-dimensional manifold) are investigated in terms of symplectic geometry. It is shown that there is a one to one correspondence between such current manifolds and Poisson current algebras with three generators. A geometric meaning is given to q-deformations of current algebras. The geometric quantization of current algebras and quantum current algebraic maps is also studied.

---

[1] Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung fellow.
On leave from Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing

1 Introduction

The basic ideas for the subject of current algebras were introduced in particle physics about 30 years ago[1]. The primary ingredients of current algebras are sets of equal-time commutation relations for the physically conserved currents that arise in the electromagnetic and weak interactions of hadrons[2]. Formulae relating β decay parameters to pion-nucleon scattering quantities were physically derived as applications of the current algebra approach[3].

Mathematically, current algebras are maps from a (compact) manifold N to an algebra g. When N is the one dimensional manifold S^1, current algebras are usually called loop algebras(see e.g. [4, 5, 6, 7]). The representation theory of current algebras has been studied quite extensively, see e.g. [4, 6, 7]

In connection with the theory of integrable systems certain infinite dimensional "classical Poisson algebras" have been investigated, see e.g. [8, 9] and references therein. In the present paper we study certain current algebras associated with maps from a finite Riemannian manifold into a two-dimensional (i.e. 2-D) Riemannian manifold. We associate to them certain infinite dimensional Poisson algebras and characterize them using the symplectic geometry of the underlying 2-D manifold. We then go over to study q-deformations as well as geometric quantization of these algebras. Before going into more details about our constructions in infinite dimensions, let us recall how the corresponding constructions in finite dimensions are done. In [10] it has been shown that there is a one to one correspondence between 2-D manifolds and Poisson algebras with three generators. This correspondence uses symplectic geometry and gives rise to a general description of algebraic structures on 2-D manifolds, including Lie algebras and q-deformed Lie algebras with three generators. The symmetries described by the algebras on 2-D manifolds, the related geometric meanings and the geometric meanings of q-deformations are manifest from the corresponding 2-D manifolds. The Poisson algebraic maps can be easily studied in terms of the corresponding 2-D manifolds. For the Lie algebras $SU(2)$ and the quantum (q-deformed) Lie algebras $SU_q(2)$, the associated quantum algebraic maps between $SU(2)$ and $SU_q(2)$ are discussed by using the method of prequantization and geometric quantization [11].

In this paper, we give an infinite dimensional extension of these connections. More precisely we study current algebraic structures on 2-D manifolds with parameters taking values in finite dimensional Riemannian manifolds. We call these manifolds “2-D current manifolds”. In the case where the parameter space is S^1 we obtain loop algebraic structures on 2-D current manifolds. We give a complete description of algebraic structures on 2-D current manifolds in terms of the underlying symplectic geometry. We show that there is a one to one correspondence between 2-D current manifolds and Poisson current algebras with three generators, including current Lie algebras and q-deformed current Lie algebras. The symmetry described by the current algebra and the geometric meaning of the q-deformation of the current algebra are manifest from the related current manifolds. By geometric quantization, we get corresponding quantized current algebras and related current manifolds. Both the Poisson current algebraic maps and quantum current algebraic maps can then be investigated in terms of the corresponding classical and quantum current manifolds.

We first recall in section 2 some notations of infinite dimensional symplectic geometry referring to [12] and [13] for background. In section 3 we study the symplectic geometry on 2-D current manifolds and establish relations between Poisson current structures and 2-D current manifolds. As applications, we discuss in section 4 some special 2-D current manifolds and their related Poisson current manifolds. Section 5 is dedicated to Poisson current algebraic maps in terms of the corresponding current manifolds. We investigate the geometric quantization of current algebras in section 6 and give some concluding remarks in section 7.

2 Symplectic Geometry on Current Manifolds

The basic object in symplectic geometry is a symplectic manifold which is an even dimensional manifold equipped with a symplectic two form, see e.g. [13, 14]. Let M be a connected even dimensional Riemannian manifold and N an arbitrary finite dimensional Riemannian manifold equipped with a finite reference measure μ. A current manifold M_N is the space of smooth mappings from N to M, which can be equipped with the topology of a Banach manifold, see e.g. [6]. Let δ denote the exterior derivative on M. By definition a symplectic form ω on M_N, is a two form on M with parameters in N, which is

(i) closed

$$\delta\omega = 0 \tag{1}$$

(ii) non-degenerate, i.e.,

$$X \rfloor \omega = 0 \Rightarrow X = 0\,, \tag{2}$$

where X are vector fields on M_N and $\rfloor$ denotes the left inner product defined by $(X\rfloor\omega)(Y) = \omega(X,Y)$ for any two smooth vector fields X and Y on M_N. It is possible to show that such symplectic forms exist on M_N, see e.g. [12] and [13] (for the case $dim(M) = 2$ we discuss this below).

Canonical transformations are by definition ω-preserving diffeomorphisms of M_N onto itself. A vector X on M_N corresponds to an infinitesimal canonical transformation if and only if the Lie derivative of ω with respect to X vanishes,

$$\mathcal{L}_X\omega = X\rfloor\delta\,\omega + \delta\,(X\rfloor\omega) = 0\,. \tag{3}$$

A vector X satisfying (3) is said to be a Hamiltonian vector field. Since ω is closed, it follows that a vector X is a Hamiltonian vector field if and only if $X\rfloor\delta$ is closed. Let $\mathcal{F}(M_N)$ denote the real-valued smooth functions on M_N. For $f \in \mathcal{F}(M_N)$, since ω is non-degenerate there exists a Hamiltonian vector field X_f (unique up to a sign on the right hand side of the following equation) satisfying

$$X_f\rfloor\omega = -\delta\, f\,. \tag{4}$$

The Poisson bracket $[f,g]_{P.B.}$ of two smooth functions f and g in $\mathcal{F}(M_N)$ is defined to be the function $-\omega(X_f, X_g)$. It satisfies the identities:

$$[f,g]_{P.B.} = -\omega(X_f,X_g) = \omega(X_g,X_f) = -X_f\, g = X_g\, f\,. \tag{5}$$

According to Whitney's embedding theorem we can smoothly embed N resp. M in Euclidean spaces of least possible dimensions n $(\leq 2(dim\,N+1))$ resp. m $(\leq 2(dim\,M+1))$, see e.g. [15]. Let $\vec{x}$ (resp. S) be local coordinates of the so embedded manifold N (resp. M). Let S_i, $i = 1,...,m$, be the components of S. The basis of the tangent vectors (resp. cotangent vectors) of the Banach manifold M_N are then $\{\delta/\delta S_i(\vec{x})\}$ (resp. $\{\delta S_i(\vec{x})\}$), $i = 1,...,m$. For fixed $\vec{x}$, $S_1(\vec{x}),...,S_m(\vec{x})$ can be looked upon as orthogonal smooth vectors spanning the tangent space at $\vec{x} \in N$ to M. In analogy with the finite dimensional situation (see e.g. [13]) we can define an inner product between the bases of tangent vectors on M_N and two forms on M_N

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta S_i(\vec{x})} \rfloor \delta S_j(\vec{y}) \wedge \delta S_k(\vec{z}) = \delta_{ij}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\delta S_k(\vec{z}) - \delta_{ik}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{z})\delta S_j(\vec{y})\,, \quad i,j,k=1,...m\,,$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is the usual δ function on N and $\delta_{ij}=1$ for $i=j$, $\delta_{ij}=0$ for $i \neq j$. This equality is to be understood in the sense of generalized functions (using the natural pairing given by the Riemann-Lebesgue volume measure on N and M).

3 Poisson Current Algebraic Structures on 2-D Current Manifolds

In the following we take M to be a two dimensional Riemannian manifold smoothly embedded into $I\!R^3$. Let, as in section 2, S_i, $i=1,2,3$, be the coordinates of M in $I\!R^3$ and $\vec{x}$ the coordinate vector of the manifold N in $I\!R^n$ (n is also as in section 2). We consider a general 2-D current manifold M_N defined in terms of some smooth real-valued function F on $I\!R^3$ by

$$F(\overset{\circ}{S}(\vec{x}))=0\,, \quad \vec{x}\in N, \tag{6}$$

$\overset{\circ}{S}$ denoting the values of $S=(S_1,S_2,S_3)$ for which (6) holds. The Poisson algebraic structure on the current manifold (6) is determined by the corresponding symplectic structure on it.

Let for general S

$$I\!F(S) \equiv \int_N F(S(\vec{x}))d\mu(\vec{x})\,,$$

where μ is the Riemann-Lebesgue volume measure of the Riemannian manifold N. We look at $I\!F$ as a real-valued smooth functional of $S=(S_i,\ i=1,2,3)$. When S takes values on M_N, $I\!F(S)=0$. We define $\delta I\!F/\delta S_j(\vec{x})$ as the smooth functional of S s.t. for $h_j \in C(N)$

$$\int h_j(\vec{x})\frac{\delta I\!F(S)}{\delta S_j(\vec{x})}d\mu(\vec{x}) = \delta I\!F(S;h_j) \equiv \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\frac{I\!F(S^{\epsilon h_j})-I\!F(S)}{\epsilon}$$

with $S^{\epsilon h_j}=(...,S_j+\epsilon h_j,...)$, $j=1,2,3$. $\delta I\!F(S;h_j)$ is thus the derivative of $I\!F$ at S in the direction h_j. We assume that N is compact (or that μ and the functions to be integrated against it which occur in our formulae are such that all integrals are finite).

[Proposition 1]. The Hamiltonian vector fields associated with $S_i(\vec{x})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, on manifold M_N are given by

$$X_{S_i(\vec{x})} = \alpha \sum_{jk=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\delta I\!\!F(S)}{\delta S_j(\vec{x})} \frac{\delta}{\delta S_k(\vec{x})} \,, \tag{7}$$

where α is a real constant and ϵ_{ijk} is the completely antisymmetric tensor.

[Proof]. By definition a Hamiltonian vector field X_f associated with $f \in \mathcal{F}(M_N)$ should satisfy equation (4). Let us suppose that the Hamiltonian vector fields $X_{S_i(\vec{x})}$ resp. the symplectic form on M_N have the following general forms:

$$X_{S_i(\vec{x})} = \sum_{jk=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} A_j(\vec{x}) \frac{\delta}{\delta S_k(\vec{x})} \,, \tag{8}$$

resp.

$$\omega = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{lmn=1}^{3} \int_N \epsilon_{lmn} B_l(\vec{y}) \delta S_m(\vec{y}) \wedge \delta S_n(\vec{y}) d\mu(\vec{y}) \,, \tag{9}$$

where $A_i(\vec{x})$ and $B_i(\vec{x})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are some smooth functions of $S(\vec{x})$ that will be determined by eq.(4). Substituting them into equation (4) we have (in the sense of generalized functions):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X_{S_i(\vec{x})} \rfloor \omega & = & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{jklmn=1}^{3} \int_N \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmn} A_j(\vec{x}) B_l(\vec{y}) \delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) [\delta_{km} \delta S_n(\vec{y}) - \delta_{kn} \delta S_m(\vec{y})] d\mu(\vec{y}) \\ & = & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{jlmn=1}^{3} \epsilon_{lmn} A_j(\vec{x}) B_l(\vec{x}) [\epsilon_{ijm} \delta S_n(\vec{x}) - \epsilon_{ijn} \delta S_m(\vec{x})] \\ & = & -\sum_{jlmn=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijm} \epsilon_{lmn} A_j(\vec{x}) B_l(\vec{x}) \delta S_n(\vec{x}) \\ & = & -\delta S_i(\vec{x}) \,, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \end{array} \tag{10}$$

For $i = 1$ we have $X_{S_1(\vec{x})} \rfloor \omega = -\delta S_1(\vec{x})$, i.e.,

$$-(A_2(\vec{x})B_2(\vec{x}) + A_3(\vec{x})B_3(\vec{x}))\delta S_1(\vec{x}) + A_2(\vec{x})B_1(\vec{x})\delta S_2(\vec{x}) + A_3(\vec{x})B_1(\vec{x})\delta S_3(\vec{x}) = -\delta S_1(\vec{x}) \,.$$

$\delta S_i(\vec{x})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are not linearly independent, in fact from eq.(6) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\delta I\!\!F(S)}{\delta S_i} \delta S_i \Big|_N = 0 \,. \tag{11}$$

Using relation (11) we get

$$A_2(\vec{x}) = \alpha \frac{\delta I\!\!F(S)}{\delta S_2(\vec{x})} \,, \quad A_3(\vec{x}) = \alpha \frac{\delta I\!\!F(S)}{\delta S_3(\vec{x})}$$

for some real constant α and

$$\alpha\left(B_1(\vec{x})\frac{\delta I\!\!F(S)}{\delta S_1(\vec{x})}+B_2(\vec{x})\frac{\delta I\!\!F(S)}{\delta S_2(\vec{x})}+B_3(\vec{x})\frac{\delta I\!\!F(S)}{\delta S_3(\vec{x})}\right)=1\,.$$

Combining this with the corresponding results from (10) with $i=2,3$ we obtain

$$A_i(\vec{x})=\alpha\frac{\delta I\!\!F(S)}{\delta S_i(\vec{x})}\,,\quad i=1,2,3 \tag{12}$$

and

$$\alpha\sum_{i=1}^{3}B_i(\vec{x})\frac{\delta I\!\!F(S)}{\delta S_i(\vec{x})}=1\,. \tag{13}$$

Substituting eq.(12) into (8) we get (7). ■

[Remark 1]. It is seen that $A_i(\vec{x})$ is independent of the coefficients $B_i(\vec{x})$, that is, the Hamiltonian vector field associated with $S_i(\vec{x})$ is independent of the construction of the symplectic form ω on M_N. Owing to the equivalence of Hamiltonian vector fields in 2-D case [10], the factor α will be taken to be 1/2 in the following.

[Proposition 2]. The two form ω given by (9) is a symplectic form on M_N iff $B_i(\vec{x})$, $i=1,2,3$, satisfy condition (13).

[Proof]. It is manifest from the proof above that condition (13) is necessary and sufficient for ω to satisfy formula (4). And due to the fact that M is a 2-D manifold, ω is obviously closed, i.e., $\delta\omega=0$. ■

On finite dimensional manifolds with a symplectic structure one can define Poisson algebraic structures. In a similar way we define the "Poisson current algebraic structures" on current manifolds equipped with a symplectic structure.

[Theorem 1]. The Poisson current algebraic structure on the manifold M_N is (uniquely) given by

$$[S_i(\vec{x}),S_j(\vec{y})]_{P.B.}=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\epsilon_{ijk}\frac{\delta I\!\!F(S)}{\delta S_k(\vec{x})}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,, \tag{14}$$

the equality being in the sense of generalized functions over N.

[Proof]. From formula (5) and Proposition 1 we have

$$[S_i(\vec{x}),S_j(\vec{y})]_{P.B.}=-X_{S_i(\vec{x})}S_j(\vec{y})=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\epsilon_{ijk}\frac{\delta I\!\!F(S)}{\delta S_k(\vec{x})}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),$$

where α in formula (7) has been taken to be 1/2. ■

This Poisson current algebra is independent of the symplectic form on M_N, and is uniquely given by the current manifold $I\!\!F(S)=0$ under the algebraic equivalence [10].

[Proposition 3]. For $f \in \mathcal{F}(M_N)$, the Hamiltonian vector field associated with f is given by

$$X_f = \frac{1}{2}\int_N \sum_{ijk=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\delta f}{\delta S_i(\vec{y})} \frac{\delta I\!F(S)}{\delta S_j(\vec{y})} \frac{\delta}{\delta S_k(\vec{y})} d\mu(\vec{y})\,. \tag{15}$$

[Proof]. What we have to prove is that $X_f \rfloor \omega = -\delta f$. From

$$-\delta f = -\int_N \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\delta f}{\delta S_i(\vec{x})} \delta S_i(\vec{x}) d\mu(\vec{x})\,,$$

and Proposition 1 we have

$$-\delta S_i(\vec{x}) = X_{S_i(\vec{x})} \rfloor \omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\delta I\!F(S)}{\delta S_j(\vec{x})} \frac{\delta}{\delta S_k(\vec{x})} \rfloor \omega\,.$$

Therefore

$$-\delta f = \frac{1}{2}\int_N \sum_{ijk=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\delta f}{\delta S_i(\vec{y})} \frac{\delta I\!F(S)}{\delta S_j(\vec{y})} \frac{\delta}{\delta S_k(\vec{y})} d\mu(\vec{y}) \rfloor \omega\,.$$

Comparing above formula with the condition $X_f \rfloor \omega = -\delta f$ we get formula (15). ■

[Theorem 2]. For $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(M_N)$, the Poisson bracket of f and g is given by

$$[f, g]_{P.B.} = -\frac{1}{2}\int_N \sum_{ijk}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\delta f}{\delta S_i(\vec{y})} \frac{\delta I\!F(S)}{\delta S_j(\vec{y})} \frac{\delta g}{\delta S_k(\vec{y})} d\mu(\vec{y})\,. \tag{16}$$

[Proof]. This is a direct result of Proposition 3 and formula (5). ■

Generally, Poisson current algebras are by definition maps from the compact manifold N to finite dimensional algebras. Let us consider a general Poisson current algebra

$$[S_i(\vec{x}), S_j(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} f_k(S(\vec{x})) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})\,, \quad \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in N, \quad S \in I\!R^3 \tag{17}$$

(in the sense of distribution on N), where f_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are smooth functions of S taking values on a finite dimensional manifold N and $S_i(\vec{x})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, satisfy the Jacobi identity.

[Definition]. If the f_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, satisfy

$$\left.\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial S_j}\right|_p = \left.\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial S_i}\right|_p, \qquad p \in N, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, \tag{18}$$

then the algebra (17) is said to be integrable.

[Remark 2]. The integrable condition (18) is a sufficient condition for a general Poisson current algebra (17) to satisfy the Jacobi identity,

$$[S_1(\vec{x}), [S_2(\vec{y}), S_3(\vec{z})]_{P.B.}]_{P.B.} + [S_2(\vec{y}), [S_3(\vec{z}), S_1(\vec{x})]_{P.B.}]_{P.B.} + [S_3(\vec{z}), [S_1(\vec{x}), S_2(\vec{y})]_{P.B.}]_{P.B.}$$

$$= \left.\left[\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial S_2} f_3 - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial S_3} f_2 + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial S_3} f_1 - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial S_1} f_3 + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial S_1} f_2 - \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial S_2} f_1\right]\right|_{\vec{x}} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \delta(\vec{y} - \vec{z}) = 0\,.$$

[Remark 3]. Comparing formula (14) with formula (17) we see that the $f_i(S(\vec{x}))$ in the Poisson current bracket (14) on M_N is given by

$$f_i(S(\vec{x})) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta I\!\!F(S)}{\delta S_i(\vec{x})}, \tag{19}$$

where $I\!\!F(S) = \int_N F(S(\vec{x}))d\mu(\vec{x})$. As $F \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\left.\frac{\partial^2 F(S)}{\partial S_i \partial S_j}\right|_p = \left.\frac{\partial^2 F(S)}{\partial S_j \partial S_i}\right|_p \qquad p \in N, \quad i,j = 1,2,3.$$

Therefore f_i in (19) satisfy the integrable condition (18) and all the Poisson current algebras (14) in Theorem 1, over 2-D current manifolds are integrable ones.

[Theorem 3]. Let M, N be Riemannian manifolds smoothly embedded in $I\!\!R^3$ resp. $I\!\!R^n$. For a given integrable current Poisson algebra (17), there exists a 2-D symplectic current manifold M_N described by an equation of the form $\int_N F(S(\vec{y}))d\vec{y} = c$, with $S \in M$, $\vec{y} \in N$, $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and c an arbitrary real number, such that the Poisson current algebra generated by $S(\vec{y})$ coincides with the algebra (17).

[Proof]. A general integrable Poisson algebra is of the form (17),

$$[S_i(\vec{x}), S_j(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} f_k(S(\vec{x}))\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,, \quad \vec{x},\vec{y} \in N, \quad S \in I\!\!R^3,$$

where f_i, $i = 1,2,3$, satisfy the integrability condition (18). What we have to show is that this Poisson algebra can be described by the symplectic geometry on a suitable 2-D symplectic current manifold (M_N, ω), in the sense that the above Poisson current bracket can be described by the formula (5), i.e., the Poisson current bracket $[S_i(\vec{x}), S_j(\vec{y})]_{P.B.}$ is given by the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{S_i(\vec{x})}$ associated with $S_i(\vec{x})$ such that

$$[S_i(\vec{x}), S_j(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = -X_{S_i(\vec{x})} S_j(\vec{y}) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} f_k(S(\vec{x}))\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,. \tag{20}$$

Let $X'_{S_i(\vec{x})}$ be given by

$$X'_{S_i(\vec{x})} \equiv \sum_{jk=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} f_j(\vec{x}) \frac{\delta}{\delta S_k(\vec{x})}\,. \tag{21}$$

Then $X'_{S_i(\vec{x})}$ satisfies

$$[S_i(\vec{x}), S_j(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = -X'_{S_i(\vec{x})} S_j(\vec{y}) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} f_k(S(\vec{x}))\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,.$$

A general two form on $I\!R^3_N$ has the form

$$\omega' = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{lmn=1}^{3}\int_N \epsilon_{lmn} B_l(\vec{y})\delta S_m(\vec{y}) \wedge \delta S_n(\vec{y}) d\mu(\vec{y})\,, \tag{22}$$

where $B_i(\vec{x})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are some smooth functions of $S(\vec{x})$.

We have to prove that S can be restricted to a suitable 2-dimensional manifold $M \subset I\!R^3$ in such a way that $X'_{S_i(\vec{x})}$ coincides with the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{S_i(\vec{x})}$ and ω' is the corresponding symplectic form ω on M_N.

A two form on a 2-D current manifold is always closed. What we should then check is that there exists $M \subset I\!R^3$ such that for S restricted to M the formula (4) holds for $f = S_i(\vec{x})$, i.e.,

$$X'_{S_i(\vec{x})}\rfloor\omega' = -\delta S_i(\vec{x}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{23}$$

Substituting formulae (21) and (22) into (23) we get

$$\begin{aligned} X'_{S_i(\vec{x})}\rfloor\omega' &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{jlmn=1}^{3}\epsilon_{lmn} f_j(\vec{x})B_l(\vec{x})[\epsilon_{ijm}\delta S_n(\vec{x}) - \epsilon_{ijn}\delta S_m(\vec{x})] \\ &= -\sum_{jlmn=1}^{3}\epsilon_{ijm}\epsilon_{lmn} f_j(\vec{x})B_l(\vec{x})\delta S_n(\vec{x}) \\ &= -\delta S_i(\vec{x})\,. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{gathered} (1 - f_2(\vec{x})B_2(\vec{x}) - f_3(\vec{x})B_3(\vec{x}))\delta S_1(\vec{x}) + f_2(\vec{x})B_1(\vec{x})\delta S_2(\vec{x}) + f_3(\vec{x})B_1(\vec{x})\delta S_3(\vec{x}) = 0, \\ (1 - f_3(\vec{x})B_3(\vec{x}) - f_1(\vec{x})B_1(\vec{x}))\delta S_2(\vec{x}) + f_3(\vec{x})B_2(\vec{x})\delta S_3(\vec{x}) + f_1(\vec{x})B_2(\vec{x})\delta S_1(\vec{x}) = 0, \\ (1 - f_1(\vec{x})B_1(\vec{x}) - f_2(\vec{x})B_2(\vec{x}))\delta S_3(\vec{x}) + f_1(\vec{x})B_3(\vec{x})\delta S_1(\vec{x}) + f_2(\vec{x})B_3(\vec{x})\delta S_2(\vec{x}) = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{24}$$

Let us now look at the coefficient determinant D of the $\delta S_i(\vec{x})$ in the system (24). By a suitable choice of B_1, B_2, B_3 we can obtain that D is zero. This is in fact equivalent with the equation

$$f_1(\vec{x})B_1(\vec{x}) + f_2(\vec{x})B_2(\vec{x}) + f_3(\vec{x})B_3(\vec{x}) = 1 \tag{25}$$

being satisfied. The fact that $D = 0$ implies that there exists indeed an M as above.

Substituting condition (25) into (24) we get

$$f_1(\vec{x})\delta S_1(\vec{x}) + f_2(\vec{x})\delta S_2(\vec{x}) + f_3(\vec{x})\delta S_3(\vec{x}) = 0\,. \tag{26}$$

From the assumption (18) we know that the differential equation (26) is exactly solvable, in the sense that there exists a smooth (potential) function $F \in \mathcal{F}(M_N)$ and a constant c such that

$$I\!\!F(S) \equiv \int_N F(S(\vec{y}))d\vec{y} = c \tag{27}$$

and $\delta I\!\!F / \delta S_i(\vec{x}) = f_i(S(\vec{x}))$. The above manifold M_N is then described by (27).

Therefore for any given integrable Poisson current algebra there always exists a 2-D current manifold of the form (27) on which $X'_{S_i(\vec{x})}$ in (21) is a Hamiltonian vector field and the Poisson bracket of the current algebra is given by $X'_{S_i(\vec{x})}$ according to the formula,

$$[S_i(\vec{x}), S_j(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = -X'_{S_i(\vec{x})} S_j(\vec{y}) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} f_k(S(\vec{x}))\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})\,.$$

The 2-D current manifold defined by (27) is unique (once c is given). Hence an integrable Poisson current algebra is uniquely given by the 2-D current manifold M_N described by $I\!\!F(S) \equiv \int_N F(S(\vec{y}))d\vec{y} = c$. ■

4 Poisson Algebraic Structures on Some Special Current Manifolds

In this section we discuss Poisson algebraic structures on some special current manifolds, which give rise to special current extensions of Poisson Lie algebras and q-deformed Lie algebras. In all examples below $\vec{x}$ takes values in a Riemannian manifold N, smoothly embedded in $I\!\!R^n$.

a). We first consider a "current 2-D sphere" given by

$$S_1^2(\vec{x}) + S_2^2(\vec{x}) + S_3^2(\vec{x}) = S_0^2\,, \tag{28}$$

where S_0 is a real constant$\neq 0$.

M is then here the sphere in $I\!\!R^3$ of radius S_0. From Theorem 1 we have the Poisson relations (in the sense of distributions),

$$[S_i(\vec{x}), S_j(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} S_k(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})\,, \tag{29}$$

The algebra defined by (29) is a current extension of the Poisson algebra $SU(2)$ (cfr. e.g. [1, 2, 7, 16]).

A symplectic form on the current manifold M_N can be constructed by using formula (9) and condition (13). From (13) and (28) we have

$$B_1(\vec{x})S_1(\vec{x}) + B_2(\vec{x})S_2(\vec{x}) + B_3(\vec{x})S_3(\vec{x}) = 1\,.$$

Comparing this with eq.(28) we can simply take $B_i(\vec{x}) = S_i(\vec{x})/S_0^2$. Therefore from (9) we obtain the following symplectic form

$$\omega = \frac{-1}{2S_0^2}\sum_{ijk=1}^{3}\int_N \epsilon_{ijk}S_i(\vec{x})\delta S_j(\vec{x})\wedge\delta S_k(\vec{x})d\mu(\vec{x}) \tag{30}$$

b). We now consider a "q-deformed current 2-D sphere" defined by

$$S_1^2(\vec{x}) + S_2^2(\vec{x}) + \frac{(\sinh\gamma S_3(\vec{x}))^2}{\gamma\sinh\gamma} = \frac{(\sinh\gamma S_0)^2}{\gamma\sinh\gamma} \overset{def}{=} S_\gamma^2\,, \tag{31}$$

where $\gamma = \log q$, $q \in I\!R\backslash\{0\}$ is the deformation parameter. Heuristically, when $\gamma \to 0$, the manifold (31) becomes the current 2-D sphere (28).

For the manifold (31), Theorem 1 gives rise to the following Poisson algebraic relations (again written in the sense of generalized functions):

$$\begin{aligned}
[S_1(\vec{x}), S_2(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} &= \frac{\sinh 2\gamma S_3(\vec{x})}{2\sinh\gamma}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,,\\
[S_2(\vec{x}), S_3(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} &= S_1(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,,\\
[S_3(\vec{x}), S_1(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} &= S_2(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,,
\end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[S_+(\vec{x}), S_-(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = -i\frac{\sinh 2\gamma S_3(\vec{x})}{\sinh\gamma}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,,\\
&[S_3(\vec{x}), S_\pm(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = \mp iS_\pm(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where $S_\pm(\vec{x}) = S_1(\vec{x}) \pm iS_2(\vec{x})$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

The algebra (32) is just the current extension of the q-deformed Poisson Lie algebra $SU_q(2)$[17]. It is isomorphic (up to a factor i) to the current extension of the "quantum" algebra $SU_q(2)$, but is here classically realized, which means that the q-deformation and physical $\hbar$-quantization of the current extended algebras are independent, like in the case of Lie algebras[11, 18]. Both current extended Lie algebras and current extended q-deformed Lie algebras can thus be realized at classical and also at quantum levels (see section 6).

Condition (13) now turns out to be

$$B_1(\vec{x})S_1(\vec{x}) + B_2(\vec{x})S_2(\vec{x}) + B_3(\vec{x})\frac{\sinh 2\gamma S_3(\vec{x})}{2\sinh\gamma} = 1\,.$$

From eq.(31) we have

$$B_1(\vec{x}) = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma\sinh\gamma}}{\sinh(\gamma S_0)}S_1(\vec{x})\,,\quad B_2(\vec{x}) = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma\sinh\gamma}}{\sinh(\gamma S_0)}S_2(\vec{x})\,,\quad B_3(\vec{x}) = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma\sinh\gamma}}{\gamma\sinh(\gamma S_0)}\tanh\gamma S_3(\vec{x})\,.$$

Substituting these expressions into eq.(9) we obtain the symplectic form

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= -\frac{\gamma\sinh\gamma}{(\sinh\gamma S_0)^2}\int_N \left[S_1(\vec{x})\delta S_2(\vec{x})\wedge\delta S_3(\vec{x}) + S_2(\vec{x})\delta S_3(\vec{x})\wedge\delta S_1(\vec{x})\right.\\ &\quad\left. + \frac{\tanh\gamma S_3(\vec{x})}{\gamma}\delta S_1(\vec{x})\wedge\delta S_2(\vec{x})\right] d\mu(\vec{x})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

c). The "current elliptic paraboloid" is defined by

$$S_1^2(\vec{x}) + S_2^2(\vec{x}) - S_3(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\,. \tag{34}$$

From formula (14) we have, in the sense of generalized functions:

$$\begin{aligned}&[S_1(\vec{x}), S_2(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,,\\ &[S_2(\vec{x}), S_3(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = S_1(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,,\\ &[S_3(\vec{x}), S_1(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = S_2(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

This is just the current extension of the Poisson simple harmonic oscillator algebra $\mathcal{H}(4)$ [19].

The corresponding symplectic form can be obtained by using formulae (9), (13) and eq.(34),

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= -2\int_N \left[S_1(\vec{x})\delta S_2(\vec{x})\wedge\delta S_3(\vec{x}) + S_2(\vec{x})\delta S_3(\vec{x})\wedge\delta S_1(\vec{x})\right.\\ &\quad\left. + 2S_3(\vec{x})\delta S_1(\vec{x})\wedge\delta S_2(\vec{x})\right] d\mu(\vec{x})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

d). The "q-deformed current elliptic paraboloid" is defined by

$$S_1^2(\vec{x}) + S_2^2(\vec{x}) - \frac{\sinh(2\gamma S_3(\vec{x}))}{2\gamma\cosh\gamma} = \frac{\sinh\gamma}{2\gamma\cosh(\gamma)}\,, \tag{37}$$

where again $\gamma = \log q$, $q \in I\!R\backslash\{0\}$ is the deformation parameter.

The algebra on this current manifold is the q-deformed current extension of the simple harmonic oscillator algebra of $\mathcal{H}_q(4)$ considered in [20] and is described (in the sense of generalized functions) by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[S_1(\vec{x}), S_2(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = -\frac{\cosh(2\gamma S_3(\vec{x}))}{2\cosh\gamma}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \,, \\
&[S_2(\vec{x}), S_3(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = S_1(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \,, \\
&[S_3(\vec{x}), S_1(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = S_2(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{38}
$$

Similarly as above we have the symplectic form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega &= -2\frac{\gamma\cosh\gamma}{\sinh\gamma}\int_N [S_1(\vec{x})\delta S_2(\vec{x}) \wedge \delta S_3(\vec{x}) + S_2(\vec{x})\delta S_3(\vec{x}) \wedge \delta S_1(\vec{x}) \\
&\quad + \left.\frac{\sinh(2\gamma S_3(\vec{x}))}{\gamma\cosh(2\gamma S_3(\vec{x}))}\delta S_1(\vec{x}) \wedge \delta S_2(\vec{x})\right] d\mu(\vec{x}) \,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{39}
$$

e). The "current manifold of the one sheet hyperboloid" is defined by

$$
S_1^2(\vec{x}) + S_2^2(\vec{x}) - S_3^2(\vec{x}) = constant \,. \tag{40}
$$

The corresponding current algebra is described (in the sense of generalized functions) by the relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[S_1(\vec{x}), S_2(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = -S_3(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \,, \\
&[S_2(\vec{x}), S_3(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = S_1(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \,, \\
&[S_3(\vec{x}), S_1(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = S_2(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{41}
$$

This is the current extension of the well known Poisson $SU(1,1)$ algebra. The corresponding symplectic form ω can easily be obtained. In fact it is given by (30), with S_0 being replaced by the constant on the right hand side of eq.(40). This also shows that the symplectic structures are in general not unique for a given symplectic manifold, since there could be more than one set of $B_i(\vec{x})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ satisfying eq.(13).

Using formulas (14), (9) and (13), other examples of current extended Poisson algebras and related symplectic structures associated with current manifolds can be constructed in a similar way, though formally the current algebras could in general not be the current extensions of Lie algebras or q-deformed Lie algebras. For example for the "genus one current Riemannian manifold",

$$
(\sqrt{S_1^2(\vec{x}) + S_2^2(\vec{x})} - a)^2 + S_3^2(\vec{x}) = r^2 \,, \tag{42}
$$

where a and r are the radii of the torus, we have the following Poisson current algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
&[S_1(\vec{x}), S_2(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = S_3(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,, \\
&[S_2(\vec{x}), S_3(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = \left[S_1(\vec{x}) - \frac{aS_1(\vec{x})}{\sqrt{S_1^2(\vec{x})+S_2^2(\vec{x})}}\right]\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,, \\
&[S_3(\vec{x}), S_1(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = \left[S_2(\vec{x}) - \frac{aS_2(\vec{x})}{\sqrt{S_1^2(\vec{x})+S_2^2(\vec{x})}}\right]\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

(in the sense of generalized functions).

5 Poisson Current Algebraic Maps

From the above we see that the current algebras which are defined as maps from a compact manifold N to an algebra with three generators are related, via symplectic geometry, to certain 2-D current manifolds and vice versa. Therefore it is convenient to investigate current algebraic maps by using the associated 2-D current manifolds.

Let A_0 and A_0' be algebras with three generators. Let N and N' be Riemannian manifolds smoothly embedded in $I\!\!R^3$ such that $D \equiv N \cap N' \neq \emptyset$. Let A and A' be current algebras of mappings from N and N' to A_0 and A_0' respectively. Let $S \equiv S_i(\vec{x})$ (resp. $S' \equiv S_i'(\vec{x})$), $i = 1, 2, 3$, $\vec{x} \in D$ be the generators of the current algebra A (resp. A'), with corresponding current manifolds $F(S) = 0$ (resp. $F'(S') = 0$) defined by a certain smooth real-valued function F (resp. F').

[Theorem 3]. $\tilde{S}(S) \equiv \{\tilde{S}_i(\vec{x}),\ i = 1, 2, 3;\ \vec{x} \in D\}$ generates A' iff $\tilde{S}$ satisfies $I\!\!F'(\tilde{S}) = 0$, where $I\!\!F'(\tilde{S}) \equiv \int_D F'(\tilde{S})d\mu$ and $I\!\!F(S) \equiv \int_D F(S)d\mu = 0$.

[Proof]. Let M_D be the manifold defined by the equation $F(S(\vec{x})) = 0$, $\vec{x} \in D$ and M_D' the manifold defined by the equation $F'(S'(\vec{x})) = 0$, $\vec{x} \in D$. If $\tilde{S}$ satisfies $I\!\!F'(\tilde{S}) = 0$, then from Theorem 1 $\tilde{S}$ gives rise to the current algebra A'.

Conversely we have to prove that if $\tilde{S}(S)$ generates the current algebra A' by using the algebraic relations of S, then $\tilde{S}$ satisfies the equation $I\!\!F'(\tilde{S}) = 0$.

Due to the relation $F(S) = 0$, the S_i, $i =, 1, 2, 3$, are not independent. Since $F(S) = 0$ is assumed to be a two dimensional manifold, we can take, without loosing generality, S_1, S_2 to be the independent variables. For $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(M_D)$, Theorem 2 says that the Poisson bracket of f and g is given by

$$[f,g]_{P.B.} = \frac{1}{2}\int_D \frac{\delta I\!F(S)}{\delta S_3(\vec{y})}\left(\frac{\delta f}{\delta S_1(\vec{y})}\frac{\delta g}{\delta S_2(\vec{y})} - \frac{\delta f}{\delta S_2(\vec{y})}\frac{\delta g}{\delta S_1(\vec{y})}\right) d\mu(\vec{y})\,. \tag{44}$$

From Theorem 1 the Poisson current algebra A' is given by

$$[\tilde{S}_i(\vec{x}),\tilde{S}_j(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\epsilon_{ijk}\frac{\delta I\!F'(\tilde{S})}{\delta\tilde{S}_k(\vec{x})}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \tag{45}$$

(in the sense of generalized functions). On the other hand from (44), the Poisson algebraic relations of $\tilde{S}_i(\vec{x})$ are

$$[\tilde{S}_i(\vec{x}),\tilde{S}_j(\vec{y})]_{P.B.} = \frac{1}{2}\int_D \frac{\delta I\!F(S)}{\delta S_3(\vec{z})}\left(\frac{\delta\tilde{S}_i(\vec{x})}{\delta S_1(\vec{z})}\frac{\delta\tilde{S}_j(\vec{y})}{\delta S_2(\vec{z})} - \frac{\delta\tilde{S}_i(\vec{x})}{\delta S_2(\vec{z})}\frac{\delta\tilde{S}_j(\vec{y})}{\delta S_1(\vec{z})}\right) d\mu(\vec{z})\,. \tag{46}$$

Taking into account that (as generalized functions)

$$\frac{\delta\tilde{S}_i(\vec{x})}{\delta S_j(\vec{y})} = \tilde{S}_{i,j}(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,,$$

(where $\tilde{S}_{i,j}(\vec{x})$ is the function of $\vec{x}$ obtained by evaluating the derivative of the function $\tilde{S}_i$ of S_j with respect to S_j at $\vec{x}$), we get from (45) and (46):

$$\frac{\delta I\!F(S)}{\delta S_3(\vec{y})}\left(\frac{\delta\tilde{S}_i}{\delta S_1}\frac{\delta\tilde{S}_j}{\delta S_2} - \frac{\delta\tilde{S}_i}{\delta S_2}\frac{\delta\tilde{S}_j}{\delta S_1}\right)\bigg|_{\vec{y}}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) = \sum_{k=1}^{3}\epsilon_{ijk}\frac{\delta I\!F'(\tilde{S})}{\delta\tilde{S}_k(\vec{x})}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,,$$

By integrating with respect to $d\mu(\vec{y})$ above equations for $i=1$, $j=2$ resp. $i=2$, $j=3$ resp. $i=3$, $j=1$ and multiplying the so obtained equations by $\frac{\delta S_3'(\vec{x})}{\delta S_k(\vec{z})}$ resp. $\frac{\delta S_1'(\vec{x})}{\delta S_k(\vec{z})}$ resp. $\frac{\delta S_2'(\vec{x})}{\delta S_k(\vec{z})}$, summing then these equations together and finally integrating with respect to $d\mu(\vec{x})$, we get

$$\frac{\delta I\!F'(\tilde{S})}{\delta S_k(\vec{z})} = 0\,,\qquad k=1,2,\quad \forall\vec{z}\in D.$$

Therefore $I\!F'(\tilde{S}) = constant$. This is equivalent to the current manifold $I\!F'(\tilde{S}) = 0$ for the algebra A', since a constant term does not change the current algebraic structures of the manifold. ■

We give two examples of Poisson current algebraic maps. Eqs.(28) and (31) give algebraic maps between the current extended algebras of $SU(2)$ and $SU_q(2)$,

$$S'_\pm(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma\sinh\gamma}}S_\pm(\vec{x})\frac{\sinh\gamma(S_0\mp S_3(\vec{x}))}{S_0\mp S_3(\vec{x})}\;,\quad S'_3(\vec{x}) = S_3(\vec{x})\;, \tag{47}$$

where $S_\pm(\vec{x}) = S_1(\vec{x})\pm iS_2(\vec{x})$. It is easy to check by using the relations (29) that $S'_\pm(\vec{x})$, $S'_3(\vec{x})$ satisfy (32). They also satisfy (31) as seen using (28).

The algebraic maps from the current algebras of $\mathcal{H}(4)$ to $\mathcal{H}_q(4)$ can be obtained from the related manifolds (34) and (37). For instance,

$$\begin{aligned}
S'_+(\vec{x}) &= \frac{\sinh\gamma(S_3(\vec{x})+\frac{1}{2})}{(S_3(\vec{x})+\frac{1}{2})\gamma}S_+(\vec{x})\,, \\
S'_-(\vec{x}) &= \frac{\cosh\gamma(S_3(\vec{x})-\frac{1}{2})}{\cosh\gamma}S_-(\vec{x})\,, \quad S'_3(\vec{x}) = S_3(\vec{x})\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where $S_\pm(\vec{x})$ and $S_3(\vec{x})$ are the generators of the current algebra $\mathcal{H}(4)$ satisfying the relations (35). $S'_\pm(\vec{x})$ and $S'_3(\vec{x})$ are the generators of the current algebra $\mathcal{H}_q(4)$ satisfying the relations (38). We also see that $S_\pm(\vec{x})$, $S_3(\vec{x})$ satisfy (34) and $S'_\pm(\vec{x})$, $S'_3(\vec{x})$ satisfy (37).

Similarly, the current algebraic maps among other current extended algebras such as $SU(1,1)$ and $SU_q(1,1)$ can be studied by investigating their related current manifolds.

6 Geometric quantization of current algebras

The first step towards geometric quantization is prequantization (cfr. [13, 14]). In our case the aim of prequantization is to construct a linear monomorphism from the Poisson algebra of (M_N,ω) to the space of linear operators on an appropriate space by constructing the prequantization line bundle L. The system is still classical at this prequantization level.

In the process of quantization, one has to find a suitable classical counterpart of the notion of a complete set of commuting observables. A natural choice is a set of $l=\dfrac{1}{2}dim(M)$ functions $f_1, f_2, ..., f_l$ on M such that their Hamiltonian vector fields $X_{f_1}, X_{f_2}, ..., X_{f_l}$ are complete. If one drops the assumption that the f_i be real and globally defined, one is led to the concept of a "polarization" P of (M_N,ω). The complex linear combinations of Hamiltonian vector fields X_{f_i}, $i=1,2,...,l$, span an involutive distribution P on M such that $dim(P)=\dfrac{1}{2}dim(M)$ and ω restricted to P vanishes identically, $\omega|_{P\times P}=0$ [21].

A complex distribution P satisfying above equations is called a complex Lagrangian distribution on (M_N,ω). A polarization of a symplectic manifold (M_N,ω) is a complex involutive Lagrangian distribution P on M_N such that $dim\{P\cap\bar{P}\}$ is constant, where $\bar{P}$ denotes the complex conjugate of P.

Let $\{X_i\}$, $i=1,...,\frac{1}{2}dim(M)$ be the basis of the polarization P. If the Hamiltonian vector field of the observable f on M_N satisfies the relation

$$[X_f, X_i](x) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} a^i{}_j(x) X_j(x)\,, \quad x \in M_N\,, \ \ \forall i\,, \tag{49}$$

where $a^i{}_j(x)$ are smooth functions of x, f is said to be polarization preserving. The quantum operator $\hat{f}$ associated to f is then given by [13, 14]

$$\hat{f} = -i\hbar(X_f - \frac{i}{\hbar}\theta(X_f)) + f - \frac{1}{2}\hbar\sum_{j=1}^{l} a^j{}_j\,, \tag{50}$$

where $\hbar = h/2\pi$ and h is the Planck constant. The quantum Hilbert space is the subspace of the section space of the prequantization line bundle L that is covariantly constant along the polarization.

In the following we take the current extended algebra of $SU(2)$ as an example. As is shown in section 4 this algebra is related to the current 2-D sphere defined by equation (28). Let S^2 denote the latter manifold. We set up a complex structure on S^2 by introducing two open sets

$$U_\pm = \{z \in S^2 \mid S_0 \pm S_3(z) \neq 0\} \tag{51}$$

and two complex functions $z_+(\vec{x})$ and $z_-(\vec{x})$, $\vec{x} \in N$, on U_+ and U_- respectively,

$$z_\pm(\vec{x}) = \frac{S_1(\vec{x}) \mp iS_2(\vec{x})}{S_0 \pm S_3(\vec{x})}\,. \tag{52}$$

In $U_+ \bigcap U_-$ we have

$$z_+(\vec{x}) z_-(\vec{x}) = 1\,. \tag{53}$$

From (52) we get the expressions of $S_i(\vec{x})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, in terms of $z_+(\vec{x})$ and $z_-(\vec{x})$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S_1(\vec{x}) & = & S_0\dfrac{z_\pm(\vec{x}) + \overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})}{1 + z_\pm(\vec{x})\overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})}\,, \\ S_2(\vec{x}) & = & \pm iS_0\dfrac{z_\pm(\vec{x}) - \overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})}{1 + z_\pm(\vec{x})\overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})}\,, \\ S_3(\vec{x}) & = & \pm S_0\dfrac{1 - z_\pm(\vec{x})\overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})}{1 + z_\pm(\vec{x})\overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})}\,. \end{array} \tag{54}$$

The symplectic form (30) becomes

$$\omega \mid U_\pm = \int_N \frac{-2iS_0}{(1 + z_\pm(\vec{x})\overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x}))^2}\delta\overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x}) \wedge \delta z_\pm(\vec{x}) d\mu(\vec{x})\,. \tag{55}$$

Locally $\omega \mid U_\pm = \delta\theta_\pm$. From (55) the symplectic one form $\theta_\pm$ reads

$$\theta(\vec{x}) \mid U_\pm = \int_N \frac{-2iS_0}{1 + z_\pm(\vec{x})\overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})} \overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x}) \delta z_\pm(\vec{x}) d\mu(\vec{x})\,. \tag{56}$$

The Hamiltonian vector fields of $S_i(\vec{x})$ now take the form

$$\begin{aligned} X_{S_1(\vec{x})} \mid U_\pm &= -\frac{i}{2}\left[\left(z_\pm^2(\vec{x}) - 1\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\pm(\vec{x})} + \left(1 - \overline{z}_\pm^2(\vec{x})\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta \overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})}\right], \\ X_{S_2(\vec{x})} \mid U_\pm &= \pm\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(z_\pm^2(\vec{x}) + 1\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\pm(\vec{x})} + \left(1 + \overline{z}_\pm^2(\vec{x})\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta \overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})}\right], \\ X_{S_3(\vec{x})} \mid U_\pm &= \pm i\left(\overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})\frac{\delta}{\delta \overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})} - z_\pm(\vec{x})\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\pm(\vec{x})}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

We suppose that the manifold N has finite volume V. The prequantization line bundle L exists if and only if $V^{-1}h^{-1}\omega$ defines an integral de Rham cohomology class, i.e. the de Rham cohomology class $[-V^{-1}h^{-1}\omega]$ of $-V^{-1}h^{-1}\omega$ should be integrable [14]. This leads to the relation

$$-V^{-1}h^{-1}\int_{S^2}\omega = 2j, \quad 2j \in I\!N.$$

Hence we have

$$S_0 = j\hbar\,, \tag{58}$$

In the following discussions we shall, for simplicity, set $\hbar = 1$

We take, as a suitable polarization, the linear frame fields of $z_\pm(\vec{x})$,

$$X_{z_\pm(\vec{x})} = (2iS_0)^{-1}\left(1 + z_\pm(\vec{x})\overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})\right)^2 \frac{\delta}{\delta \overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x})}.$$

On $U_+ \cap U_-$, $z_+(\vec{x}) \neq 0$, we have $X_{z_-(\vec{x})} = -z_+^{-2}(\vec{x})X_{z_+(\vec{x})}$. Hence, $X_{z_+(\vec{x})}$ and $X_{z_-(\vec{x})}$ span a complex distribution P on S^2 and P is a polarization of the symplectic manifold (S^2,ω). Moreover,

$$i\omega(X_{z_\pm(\vec{x})}, \overline{X}_{z_\pm(\vec{x})}) = \frac{1}{2S_0}(1 + z_\pm(\vec{x})\overline{z}_\pm(\vec{x}))^2 > 0\,.$$

This means that (adapting a definition from [14]) P is a complete strongly admissible positive polarization of $(S^2,\,\omega)$ (in the sense of generalized functions).

From eqs.(50), (54) and (57) we obtain (following the procedures of geometric quantization) the following definition of the corresponding quantum operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}_1(\vec{x}) \mid U_\pm &\equiv -\frac{1}{2}(z_\pm^2(\vec{x})-1)\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\pm(\vec{x})} + jz_\pm(\vec{x})\,, \\
\hat{S}_2(\vec{x}) \mid U_\pm &\equiv \mp\frac{i}{2}(z_\pm^2(\vec{x})+1)\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\pm(\vec{x})} \pm ijz_\pm(\vec{x})\,, \\
\hat{S}_3(\vec{x}) \mid U_\pm &\equiv \pm(-z_\pm(\vec{x})\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\pm(\vec{x})} + j)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

as operators acting on a suitable dense domain in the corresponding quantum Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. They give rise to the quantum current extended algebra of $SU(2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{S}_+(\vec{x}), \hat{S}_-(\vec{y})] &= 2\hat{S}_3(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,, \\
[\hat{S}_3(\vec{x}), \hat{S}_\pm(\vec{y})] &= \pm\hat{S}_\pm(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where $\hat{S}_\pm(\vec{x}) = \hat{S}_1(\vec{x}) \pm i\hat{S}_2(\vec{x})$, $[A, B]$ denoting $AB - BA$. These relations have to be understood in the sense of operator-valued generalized functions, the operators acting on a suitable dense domain in $\mathcal{H}$.

From formula (59) we directly have the following relation between the quantum operators $\hat{S}_+$, $\hat{S}_-$ and $\hat{S}_3$,

$$(j+\frac{1}{2})^2 = \hat{S}_+(\vec{x})\hat{S}_-(\vec{x}) + (\hat{S}_3(\vec{x}) - \frac{1}{2})^2\,, \tag{61}$$

This relation gives a "quantum mechanical" analogue of the sphere S^2.

The geometric quantization of the current algebra of $SU_q(2)$ can be studied in a similar way. Let S_q^2 denote the manifold defined by eq.(31). Then the prequantization line bundle on (S_q^2, ω) exists iff when $-V^{-1}h^{-1}\int_{S_q^2}\omega = 2j$, $2j \in I\!N$, where ω is given by formula (33). This leads to an S_γ in (31) which takes the form (for $\gamma \neq 0$)

$$S_\gamma = \frac{\sinh\gamma S_0}{\sqrt{\gamma\sinh\gamma}} = \frac{\sinh\gamma j}{\sqrt{\gamma\sinh\gamma}}\,.$$

By geometric quantization we have the quantum operators of the current extended algebra of $SU_q(2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}_1(\vec{x}) \mid V_\pm &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma\sinh\gamma}}(A_\pm + B_\pm)\,, \qquad \hat{S}_2(\vec{x}) \mid V_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma\sinh\gamma}}(A_\pm - B_\pm)\,, \\
\hat{S}_3(\vec{x}) \mid V_\pm &= \pm\left(-z_\pm(\vec{x})\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\pm(\vec{x})} + j\right)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where

$$A_\pm \equiv \cosh\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}z_\pm(\vec{x})\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\pm(\vec{x})}\right) z_\pm(\vec{x}) \sinh\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}(-z_\pm(\vec{x})\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\pm(\vec{x})} + 2j)\right)\,,$$

$$B_\pm \equiv \cosh\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}(-z_\pm(\vec{x})\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\pm(\vec{x})}+2j)\right)\frac{1}{z_\pm(\vec{x})}\sinh\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}z_\pm(\vec{x})\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\pm(\vec{x})}\right)$$

(in the sense of operator-valued generalized functions on a dense domain in $\mathcal{H}$), where the open sets $V_\pm$ on S_q^2 is defined by

$$V_\pm = \left\{ z \in S_q^2 \middle| S_\gamma \pm \frac{\sinh \gamma S_3(z)}{\sqrt{\gamma \sinh\gamma}} \neq 0 \right\} .$$

They satisfy (in the same sense as above) the commutation relations of the current extended quantum algebra of $SU_q(2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{S}_+(\vec{x}), \hat{S}_-(\vec{y})] &= \frac{\sinh(\gamma)}{\gamma}\frac{\sinh 2\gamma\hat{S}_3(\vec{x})}{\sinh\gamma}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) ,\\ [\hat{S}_3(\vec{x}), \hat{S}_\pm(\vec{y})] &= \pm\hat{S}_\pm(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) , \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where $\hat{S}_\pm(\vec{x}) = \hat{S}_1(\vec{x}) \pm i\hat{S}_2(\vec{x})$. This quantum current algebra is isomorphic to the classical Poisson current algebra (32). One may redefine $\hat{S}_\pm(\vec{x})$ by multiplying it by a constant factor $\sqrt{\frac{\sinh(\gamma)}{\gamma}}$ so as to get the usual form of commutation relations.

We remark that without setting $\hbar$ to be unit, eq.(63) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{S}_+(\vec{x}), \hat{S}_-(\vec{y})] &= \frac{\sinh(\gamma\hbar)}{\gamma}\frac{\sinh 2\gamma\hat{S}_3(\vec{x})}{\sinh\gamma}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) ,\\ [\hat{S}_3(\vec{x}), \hat{S}_\pm(\vec{y})] &= \pm\hbar\hat{S}_\pm(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) . \end{aligned}$$

From this it is manifest that the $\hbar$-quantization and the q-deformation are independent operations.

In terms of the expressions (62) and (63) the quantum operators satisfy the following equation,

$$\hat{S}_+(\vec{x})\hat{S}_-(\vec{x}) + \frac{\sinh^2\gamma(\hat{S}_3(\vec{x})-\frac{1}{2})}{\gamma\sinh\gamma} = \frac{\sinh^2\gamma(j+\frac{1}{2})}{\gamma\sinh\gamma} , \tag{64}$$

This is the quantum version of the manifold (31).

The quantization of other current algebras can be discussed in a similar way. In addition, from the quantum version of the related manifolds, the quantum current algebraic maps can be obtained. For instance, let $\hat{S}_i(\vec{x})$ (resp. $\hat{S}'_i(\vec{x})$) be the quantum operators of quantum current algebra of $SU(2)$ (resp. $SU_q(2)$) satisfying eq.(61) (resp. (64)). We set $\hat{S}'_3(\vec{x}) = \hat{S}_3(\vec{x})$. Then eq.(64) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}'_+(\vec{x})\hat{S}'_-(\vec{x}) &= \frac{\sinh^2\gamma(j+\frac{1}{2})}{\gamma\sinh\gamma} - \frac{\sinh^2\gamma(\hat{S}_3(\vec{x})-\frac{1}{2})}{\gamma\sinh\gamma} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma\sinh\gamma}}\hat{S}_+(\vec{x})\frac{\sinh\gamma(j-\hat{S}_3(\vec{x}))}{j-\hat{S}_3(\vec{x})}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma\sinh\gamma}}\hat{S}_-(\vec{x})\frac{\sinh\gamma(j+\hat{S}_3(\vec{x}))}{j+\hat{S}_3(\vec{x})} , \end{aligned}$$

where eq.(61) has been used. Hence we have

$$\hat{S}'_{\pm}(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma \sinh\gamma}} \hat{S}_{\pm}(\vec{x}) \frac{\sinh\gamma(j \mp \hat{S}_3(\vec{x}))}{j \mp \hat{S}_3(\vec{x})}, \quad \hat{S}'_3(\vec{x}) = \hat{S}_3(\vec{x}) . \tag{65}$$

Therefore from the "quantum" current manifold (61) of the current extended quantum algebra $SU(2)$ and the "quantum" current manifold (64) of the current extended quantum algebra $SU_q(2)$, we get the quantum algebraic maps from the current extended algebras $SU(2)$ to $SU_q(2)$, which is formally the same as the classical Poisson algebraic maps (47). Here $\hat{S}'_{3,\pm}(\vec{x})$ satisfy the relations (64) while $\hat{S}_{3,\pm}(\vec{x})$ satisfy (61), as guaranteed by Theorem 3.

7 Conclusion and Remarks

We have shown that there is a one to one correspondence (up to algebraic equivalence) between Poisson current algebras of maps from a Riemannian manifold N to Poisson algebras with three generators and 2-D current manifolds. This gives rise to a general description of current algebraic structures on 2-D manifolds. A geometric meaning of q-deformation of current algebras emerges in terms of the corresponding manifolds. Also the Poisson and quantum algebraic maps can easily be handled in terms of the associated 2-D current manifolds.

The Hopf algebraic structures of current extended algebras can be studied by the quantum operators of these algebras. Recall that mathematically a Hopf algebra A is an algebra with multiplication $m : A \otimes A \to A$, comultiplication (coproduct) $\Delta : A \to A \otimes A$, antipode $\eta : A \to A$ and counit $\epsilon : A \to K$ for a given field K. Δ is an algebra homomorphism $\Delta(ab) = \Delta(a)\Delta(b)$ and η is an algebra anti-homomorphism $\eta(ab) = \eta(b)\eta(a)$ for $a, b \in A$. For these concepts see e.g. [22]. Here we give the Hopf algebraic structures for the current extended algebra of $SU_q(2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(\hat{S}_3(\vec{x})) = \hat{S}_3(\vec{x}) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \hat{S}_3(\vec{x}), \\
&\Delta(\hat{S}_{\pm}(\vec{x})) = \hat{S}_{\pm}(\vec{x}) \otimes e^{\gamma \hat{S}_3(\vec{x})} + e^{-\gamma \hat{S}_3(\vec{x})} \otimes \hat{S}_{\pm}(\vec{x}), \\
&\varepsilon(\mathbf{1}) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(\hat{S}_{\pm}(\vec{x})) = \varepsilon(\hat{S}_3(\vec{x})) = 0, \\
&\eta(\hat{S}_{\pm}(\vec{x})) = -e^{\gamma \hat{S}_3(\vec{x})} \hat{S}_{\pm}(\vec{x}) e^{-\gamma \hat{S}_3(\vec{x})}, \quad \eta(\hat{S}_3(\vec{x})) = -\hat{S}_3(\vec{x}) .
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

These operations conserve the quantum current algebraic relations (63). For instance,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Delta\hat{S}_+(\vec{x}), \Delta\hat{S}_-(\vec{y})] &= \frac{\sinh(\gamma)}{\gamma}\frac{\sinh 2\gamma\Delta\hat{S}_3(\vec{x})}{\sinh\gamma}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,, \\
[\Delta\hat{S}_3(\vec{x}), \Delta\hat{S}_\pm(\vec{y})] &= \pm\Delta\hat{S}_\pm(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,,
\end{aligned}$$

(in the sense of operator-valued generalized functions on a dense domain in the quantum Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$). When the deformation parameter γ approaches zero, (66) becomes formally the Hopf algebraic structures of quantum current extended algebra of $SU(2)$ and the comultiplication becomes commutative.

In this paper we obtained the quantum operator expressions of the current extended algebras through geometric quantization. In particular we have constructed here the representations of the current extended quantum algebra $SU_q(2)$, see (63). Representations of the current extended quantum algebras can also be obtained in the form of highest weight representations based on continuous tensor product representations[23]. It would be interesting to study the relations between the latter representations and the representations obtained in this paper.

In addition, by generalizing the 2-D current manifolds to Grassmannian manifolds, one can discuss BRST structures on 2-D current manifolds in terms of symplectic geometry and geometric quantization, extending the work we have done before for 2-D manifolds [24].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We would like to thank Dr. A. Daletskii for stimulating discussions. We also thank the A.v. Humboldt Foundation for the financial support given to the second named author.

References

[1] M. Gell-Mann, Physics 1 63(1964); and references therein.

[2] S. Treiman, R. Jackiw and D. Gross, Current Algebras and Its Applications, Princeton University Press, 1972.

[3] S. Adler, Phys. Rev. 140, B736 (1965).
W. Weisberger, Phys. Rev. 143, 1302 (1996).

[4] S. Albeverio, R. Høegh-Krohn, J. Marion, D. Testard and B. Torrésani, Noncommutative Distributions, Unitary Representation of Gauge Groups and Algebras, M. Dekker, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics 175, 1993.

[5] I.B. Frenkel, N.Yu. Reshetikhin, Commun. Math. Phys. 146(1992)1-60.
G. Delius and Y.Z. Zhang, J. Phys. A 28(1995)1915-1927.

[6] A. Pressley and G. Segal, *Loop Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.
V.G. Kac, *Infinitesimal Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Boston: Birkäuser 1983.

[7] R. Hermann, *C-O-R Generalized Functions, Current Algebras and Control*, Interdisciplinary Mathematics, Vol. XXX, Math Sci Press, Brookline (Mass.), 1994.
J. Mickelsson, *Current Algebras and Groups*, New York: Plenum 1989.

[8] B.A. Dubrovin and S.P. Novikov, Russ. Math. Surv. 44(1989)35-124.

[9] B.A. Dubrovin, I.M. Krichever and S.P. Novikov, *Integrable Systems* I, pp.174-280 in V.I. Arnold, S.P. Novikov Eds, *Dynamical Systems* IV, Enc. Math. Sci., Springer, Berlin (1990).

[10] S. Albeverio and S.M. Fei, *A Remark on Integrable Poisson Algebras and Two Dimensional Manifolds*, SFB-preprint, 1995.

[11] S.M. Fei, J. Phys. A 24(1991)5195-5214.
S.M. Fei and H.Y. Guo, J. Phys. A 24(1991)1-10.
S.M. Fei and H.Y. Guo, Commun. Theor. Phys. 20(1993)299-312.

[12] P.R. Chernoff and J.E. Marsden, *Properties of Infinite Dimensional Hamiltonian Systems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 425, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[13] N. Woodhouse, *Geometric Quantization*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1980.

[14] J. Sniatycki, *Geometric Quantization and Quantum Mechanics*, Springer Verlag, 1980.

[15] M.F. Atiyah, Topology, 1(1961)125-132, 151-166.

[16] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1987.

[17] M. Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. 10(1985)63; 11(1986)247; Comm. Math. Phys. 102 (1986) 537.

[18] M. Flato and Z. Lu, Lett. Math. Phys. 21(1991)85.
M. Flato and D. Sternheimer, Lett. Math. Phys. 22(1991)155.
Z. Chang, S.M. Fei, H.Y. Guo and H. Yan, J. Phys. A 24(1991)5435.

[19] R.Gilmore, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras and Some of Their Applications*, John Wiley and Sons(1974);
W.Miller, Jr. *Symmetry Groups and Their Applications*, Academic Press(1972).

[20] M. Chaichian, D. Ellinas, J. Phys. A 23(1990), L291-L296;
M. Chaichian, P. Kulish, Phys. Lett. B, Vol.234, 72(1990).

[21] A.A. Kirillov, Russia Math. Surveys 31:4(1976), 55-75.
M.V. Karasëv and V.P. Maslov, Russia Math. Surveys 39:6(1984), 133-205.

[22] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
Z.Q. Ma, *Yang-Baxter Equation and Quantum Enveloping Algebras*, World Scientific, 1993.
C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1995.

[23] S. Albeverio and S.M. Fei, Lett. Math. Phys. 36(1996)319-326.
B. Torrésani, Unitary Highest Weight Representations of Gauge Groups, in S. Albeverio, J.E. Fenstad, H. Holden, T. Lindstrϕm, Eds. Ideas and Methods in Mathematical Analysis, Stochastics, and Applications, Vol. I, pp.332-343, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[24] S. Albeverio and S.M. Fei, Lett. Math. Phys. 33(1995)207-219.